

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,356	10/24/2001	Xian-Wei Yao	24736-2046	6449	
24961 7:	590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER		
HELLER EH	RMAN WHITE & MCA	GORDON, BRIAN R			
\-\frac{1}{2}	A VILLAGE DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
7TH FLOOR SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-1246			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/037,356	ı	YAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Brian R. G	ordon	1743				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the	over sheet with the c	correspondence addr	ess			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even ly within the statute will apply and will e, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this come D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)□ 7)□ 8)⊠ Applicat i 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-60 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-60 are subject to restriction and/or of the specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected	wn from conselection requested or b) drawing(s) be tion is required	irement.] objected to by the Ended in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	` '			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been s have been rity documen u (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age			
Attachman	t/e)							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	. 6	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	ite	52)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-22, drawn to a method of delivering liquid samples to a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 180.
 - II. Claims 23-49, 50-56, and 60 drawn to a system for delivery of liquid samples from one or more pin tools to target locations on a substrate, classified in class 422, subclass 100.
 - III. Claims 57-59 drawn to a method for preparing a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 165.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process may be practiced by hand for the process claimed does not require the particular structure (for example the control and transport systems) of the apparatus of Group II.
- 3. Inventions (I and II) and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/037,356

Art Unit: 1743

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the method of Group III is a different mode of operation and yields a different affects than that of the inventions of Groups I and II.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Stephanie Seidman on May 6, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 1743

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is 571-272-1258. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 571-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

brg

MAUREEN M. WALLENHORST
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1900